

Reasoning for Humans: Clear Thinking in an Uncertain World

PHIL 171

Eric Pacuit

Department of Philosophy
University of Maryland
pacuit.org

Valid Arguments

All Philosophy majors at UMD are required to take logic. Ann is a Philosophy major at UMD. So, Ann is required to take logic.

$$\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), M(a) \models L(a).$$

Valid Arguments

All Philosophy majors at UMD are required to take logic. Ann is a Philosophy major at UMD. So, Ann is required to take logic.

$$\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), M(a) \models L(a).$$

- All Philosophy majors at UMD are millionaires. Ann is a Philosophy major at UMD. So, Ann is a millionaire.
- All Democrats voted for Biden. Ann is a Democrat. So, Ann voted for Biden.
- All ravens are black. Tweety is a raven. So, Tweety is black.
- All prime number greater than 2 are odd. 7 is a prime number greater than 2. So, 7 is odd.

Valid Arguments

- Is $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), \forall xM(x) \Rightarrow \forall xL(x)$ valid?

Valid Arguments

- Is $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), \forall xM(x) \Rightarrow \forall xL(x)$ valid? Yes.

- Is $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), \exists xM(x) \Rightarrow L(a)$ valid?

Valid Arguments

- Is $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), \forall xM(x) \Rightarrow \forall xL(x)$ valid? Yes.

- Is $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), \exists xM(x) \Rightarrow L(a)$ valid? No.

Valid Arguments

$$\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), \exists xM(x) \not\models L(a)$$

There is a way of interpreting the predicates M and L and the individual constant a such that $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x))$ and $\exists xM(x)$ are both true, but $L(a)$ is false.

Valid Arguments

$$\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), \exists xM(x) \not\models L(a)$$

There is a way of interpreting the predicates M and L and the individual constant a such that $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x))$ and $\exists xM(x)$ are both true, but $L(a)$ is false.

For example, consider the set of all integers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$.

- $M(\cdot)$ means "... is an even integer"
- $L(\cdot)$ means "...is divisible by 2."
- a is the number 3.

The analogue of the truth-value assignment for First Order Logic is a **model**. There are two components of a model:

1. A collection of objects or items called the **domain**.
2. An interpretation that associates with each constant an element of the domain and with each predicate a collection of elements from the domain.

Example

| | a | b | M | L |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | ✓ | | - | - |
| 2 | | | + | + |
| 3 | | | + | + |
| 4 | | ✓ | + | + |
| 5 | | | - | + |

- $M(a)$ is false.
- $M(b)$ is true
- $L(a)$ is false

Example

| | a | b | M | L |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | ✓ | | - | - |
| 2 | | | + | + |
| 3 | | | + | + |
| 4 | | ✓ | + | + |
| 5 | | | - | + |

- $M(a)$ is false.
- $M(b)$ is true
- $L(a)$ is false
- $\exists x M(x)$ is true
- $\exists x \neg M(x)$ is true

Example

| | a | b | M | L |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | ✓ | | - | - |
| 2 | | | + | + |
| 3 | | | + | + |
| 4 | | ✓ | + | + |
| 5 | | | - | + |

- $M(a)$ is false.
- $M(b)$ is true
- $L(a)$ is false
- $\exists x M(x)$ is true
- $\exists x \neg M(x)$ is true
- $\exists y (\neg M(y) \wedge \neg L(y))$ is true

Example

| | a | b | M | L |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | ✓ | | - | - |
| 2 | | | + | + |
| 3 | | | + | + |
| 4 | | ✓ | + | + |
| 5 | | | - | + |

- $M(a)$ is false.
- $M(b)$ is true
- $L(a)$ is false
- $\exists xM(x)$ is true
- $\exists x\neg M(x)$ is true
- $\exists y(\neg M(y) \wedge \neg L(y))$ is true
- $\forall xL(x)$ is false
- $\forall x(M(x) \vee L(x))$ is false
- $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x))$ is true

Example

| | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>M</i> | <i>L</i> |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | ✓ | | - | - |
| 2 | | | + | + |
| 3 | | | + | + |
| 4 | | ✓ | + | + |
| 5 | | | - | + |

$$\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x)), \exists xM(x) \not\models L(a)$$

- $\forall x(M(x) \rightarrow L(x))$ is true
- $\exists xM(x)$ is true
- $L(a)$ is false

1. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \neg(\exists x P(x)))$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \neg(\exists x P(x)))$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \neg(\exists x P(x)))$ is true? No
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \neg(\exists x P(x)))$ is false? Yes

2. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \forall x \neg P(x))$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \forall x \neg P(x))$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \neg(\exists x P(x)))$ is true? No
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \neg(\exists x P(x)))$ is false? Yes

2. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \forall x \neg P(x))$ is true? No
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \forall x \neg P(x))$ is false? Yes

3. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \exists x \neg P(x))$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \exists x \neg P(x))$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \neg(\exists x P(x)))$ is true? No
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \neg(\exists x P(x)))$ is false? Yes

2. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \forall x \neg P(x))$ is true? No
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \forall x \neg P(x))$ is false? Yes

3. Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \exists x \neg P(x))$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $(P(a) \wedge \exists x \neg P(x))$ is false? Yes

1. Can you find a model in which $(\forall x P(x) \vee \neg \forall x P(x))$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $(\forall x P(x) \vee \neg \forall x P(x))$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $(\forall x P(x) \vee \neg \forall x P(x))$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $(\forall x P(x) \vee \neg \forall x P(x))$ is false? No

2. Can you find a model in which $\forall x (P(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $\forall x (P(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $(\forall x P(x) \vee \neg \forall x P(x))$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $(\forall x P(x) \vee \neg \forall x P(x))$ is false? No

2. Can you find a model in which $\forall x (P(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $\forall x (P(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ is false? No

3. Can you find a model in which $(\forall x (P(x) \vee \forall x \neg P(x)))$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $(\forall x (P(x) \vee \forall x \neg P(x)))$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $(\forall x P(x) \vee \neg \forall x P(x))$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $(\forall x P(x) \vee \neg \forall x P(x))$ is false? No

2. Can you find a model in which $\forall x (P(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $\forall x (P(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ is false? No

3. Can you find a model in which $(\forall x (P(x) \vee \forall x \neg P(x)))$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $(\forall x (P(x) \vee \forall x \neg P(x)))$ is false? Yes

1. Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$ is false? No
2. Can you find a model in which $\neg \exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $\neg \exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$ is false? No

2. Can you find a model in which $\neg \exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $\neg \exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$ is false? No

3. Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x P(x)$ is true?
Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x P(x)$ is false?

1. Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$ is false? No

2. Can you find a model in which $\neg \exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $\neg \exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$ is false? No

3. Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x P(x)$ is true? Yes
Can you find a model in which $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x P(x)$ is false? No?

$$\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) \Rightarrow \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

$$\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x) \Rightarrow \forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

$$\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)$$

$$\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \Rightarrow \forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$$

$$\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) \not\equiv \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

$$\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x) \models \forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

$$\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \models \exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)$$

$$\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \not\equiv \forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$$